

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT S. MILTON,

No. C 09-0821 WHA (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF SERVICE

v.

M. SAYRES, Chief Medical Officer;
MAUREEN MCLEAN, Health Care
Manager; DR. CAPITANO; JOHN
DOE, Watch Commander; N.
GRANNIS, Appeals Coordinator,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. 1983. He has filed an amended complaint, which supersedes the original. He has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in a separate order.

DISCUSSION

A. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *Id.* at 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699

(9th Cir. 1990).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not necessary; the statement need only 'give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests.'" *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (citations omitted). Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. . . . Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1986-87.

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged deprivation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. LEGAL CLAIMS

Plaintiff alleges in his amended complaint that upon his arrival at Pelican Bay State Prison ("PBSP") on November 7, 2008, the "3rd Watch Watch Commander" informed him that doctors would take away his cane, braces, orthopedic boots, "T.E.N.S. Unit" and Morphine prescription because they would not be allowed in the Secured Housing Unit ("SHU"). He alleges that these items were medically required, and had been ordered by doctors at previous institutions. He alleges that defendants Dr. Capitano and Chief Medical Officer Dr. Sayres thereafter confiscated these items and discontinued his pain medication in order to house plaintiff to the SHU notwithstanding his medical need for them. Defendants McLean and Grannis are alleged to have denied plaintiff's administrative appeals requesting the return of these items and the resumption of his pain medication.

Plaintiff's allegations, when liberally construed, state a cognizable claim for relief

1 against defendants for deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs, in violation of the
2 Eighth Amendment.

3 CONCLUSION

4 1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without
5 prepayment of fees, copies of the amended complaint in this matter and copies of this order
6 upon defendants M. SAYRES, Chief Medical Officer; MAUREEN MCLEAN, Health Care
7 Manager; and DR. CAPITANO at Pelican Bay State Prison, and upon N. GRANNIS, Appeals
8 Coordinator, at the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation in Sacramento,
9 California. A courtesy copy of the amended complaint and this order shall also be mailed to the
10 California Attorney General's Office.

11 2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as follows:

12 a. No later than ninety days from the date this order is filed, defendants shall file
13 a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If defendants are of the opinion
14 that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the court prior to
15 the date the summary judgment motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be promptly
16 served on the plaintiff.

17 b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with the
18 court and served upon defendants no later than thirty days from the date of service of the
19 motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING," which is
20 provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc),
21 and *Klinge v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

22 If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed to
23 exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff
24 should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)," which is
25 provided to him as required by *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir.),
26 *cert. denied*, *Alameida v. Wyatt*, 124 S.Ct 50 (2003).

27 c. Defendants **shall** file a reply brief no later than fifteen days after the date of
28 service of the opposition.


1 d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due.
2 No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

3 3. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant, or
4 defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document
5 to defendant or defendant's counsel.

6 4. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court
7 informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion.
8 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to
9 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: September 30.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE -- WARNING
(SUMMARY JUDGMENT)

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

NOTICE -- WARNING
(EXHAUSTION)

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case.

You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions.

If defendants file a motion to dismiss and it is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.